

COMPLAINTS POLICY (Exams)

2023/24



Cabot
Learning
Federation

This policy is reviewed annually to ensure compliance with current regulations

Reviewed by	
Silvia Jacob	
Date of next review	November 2024



Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name(s)
Head of centre	Ben Tucker
Exams officer	Silvia Jacob
Senior leaders	Catherine Hickey, Tom McGuinness

History of most recent Policy changes

Date	Page	Change	Origin of Change e.g. TU request, Change in legislation
Date	E.g. Whole Document	Detail of change	Reason for change
Nov 2020	Whole document	Implementation of CLF wide policy	
October 2021	Whole document	Reviewed by Silvia Jacob, examinations officer	Compliance with current regulations
September 2022	Whole document	Reviewed by Silvia Jacob, examinations officer	Compliance with current regulations
November 2023	Whole document	Reviewed by Silvia Jacob, examinations officer	Compliance with current regulations

Contents

History of most recent Policy changes.....	3
Contents.....	4
1 Purpose of the procedure.....	5
2 Grounds for complaint.....	5
2.1 Teaching and learning.....	5
2.2 Access arrangements and special consideration.....	5
2.3 Entries.....	6
2.4 Conducting examinations.....	6
2.5 Results and Post-results.....	6
3 Raising a concern/complaint	7
3.1 How to make a formal complaint.....	7
3.2 How a formal complaint is investigated.....	7
4 Internal appeals procedure	8
Complaints form.....	9
Complaints log.....	9

1 Purpose of the policy

This policy confirms The City Academy Bristol's compliance with **JCQ's General Regulations for Approved Centres** (section 5.3, 5.8) in drawing *to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers its written complaints policy which will cover general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification.*

2 Grounds for complaint

A candidate (or his/her/parent/carer) may make a complaint on the grounds below (this is not an exhaustive list).

2.1 Teaching and learning

- Quality of teaching and learning, for example
 - Non-subject specialist teacher without adequate training/subject matter expertise utilised on a long-term basis
 - Teacher lacking knowledge of new specification/incorrect core content studied/taught
 - Core content not adequately covered
 - Inadequate feedback for a candidate following assessment(s)
- Pre-release/advance material/set task issued by the awarding body not provided on time to an exam candidate
- The taking of an assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not conducted according to the JCQ/awarding body instructions
- Candidate not informed of his/her centre assessed marks prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not informed of his/her centre assessed marks in sufficient time to request/appeal a review of marking prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not given sufficient time to review materials to make a decision whether to request a review of centre assessed marks
- Candidate unhappy with internal assessment decision (complainant to refer via teacher/exams officer/head of department to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- Centre fails to adhere to its *internal appeals procedure*

2.2 Access arrangements and special consideration

- Candidate not assessed by the centre's appointed assessor
- Candidate not involved in decisions made regarding his/her access arrangements
- Candidate did not consent to record their personal data online (by the non-acquisition of a completed candidate personal data consent form)
- Candidate not informed/adequately informed of the arrangements in place and the subjects or components of subjects where the arrangements would not apply
- Exam information not appropriately adapted for a disabled candidate to access it
- Adapted equipment/assistive technology put in place failed during exam/assessment
- Approved access arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment

- Appropriate arrangements not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment as a consequence of a temporary injury or impairment
- Candidate unhappy with centre decision relating to access arrangements or special consideration (complainant to refer via SENCO/exams officer to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- Centre fails to adhere to its *internal appeals procedure*

2.3 Entries

- Failure to clearly explain a decision of early entry for a qualification to candidate (or parent/carer)
- Candidate not entered/entered late (incurring a late entry fee) for a required exam/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong exam/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong tier of entry

2.4 Conducting examinations

- Failure to adequately brief candidate on exam timetable/exam regulations prior to exam/assessment taking place
- Room in which exam held did not provide candidate with appropriate conditions for taking the exam
- Inadequate invigilation in exam room
- Failure to conduct exam according to the regulations
- Online system failed during (on-screen) exam/assessment
- Disruption during exam/assessment
- Alleged, suspected or actual malpractice incident not investigated/reported
- Eligible application for special consideration for a candidate not submitted/not submitted to timescale
- Failure to inform/update candidate on the accepted/rejected outcome of a special consideration application if provided by awarding body

2.5 Results and Post-results

- Before exams, candidate not made aware of the arrangements for post-results services and the accessibility of senior members of centre staff after the publication of results
- Candidate not having access to a member of senior staff after the publication of results to discuss/make decision on the submission of a review/enquiry
- Candidate request for return of work after moderation and work not available/disposed of earlier than allowed in the regulations
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a result (complainant to refer via exams officer to awarding body *post-results services*)
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal (complainant to refer via the exams officer to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- Centre fails to adhere to its *internal appeals procedure*

- Centre applied for the wrong post-results service/for the wrong script for a candidate
- Centre missed awarding body deadline to apply for a post-results service
- Centre applied for a post-results service for candidate without gaining required candidate consent/permission

3 Raising a concern/complaint

If a candidate (or his/her parent/carer) has a general concern or complaint about the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification he/she is following, The City Academy Bristol encourages him/her to try to resolve this informally in the first instance.

- To raise a concern please contact our complaints coordinator, Colleen Litchfield via email: Colleen.Litchfield@clf.uk, detailing your concerns and the name of your child.
- The complaints coordinator will arrange for an appropriate member of the exams team to contact you to discuss your concern and try to resolve it informally.

If a complaint fails to be resolved informally, the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) is then at liberty to make a formal complaint.

3.1 How to make a formal complaint

- The academy complaint policy outlines how to make a formal complaint. A complaints form is provided below however you don't need to use this form to lodge a complaint.
- All complaints are managed by the complaint's coordinator (details above).
- Forms received will be logged by the centre and acknowledged within 10 calendar days

3.2 How a formal complaint is investigated

- The academy complaints policy outlines the process that will be followed for a Stage 1 formal complaint. [Link above.](#)
- The head of centre will further investigate or appoint a member of the senior leadership team (who is not involved in the grounds for complaint and has no personal interest in the outcome) to investigate the complaint and report on the findings and conclusion
- The findings and conclusion will be provided to the complainant within 14 working weeks]

4 Internal appeals procedure

Following the outcome, if the complainant remains dissatisfied and believes there are clear grounds, an appeal can be submitted.

- The outcome letter of the stage 1 appeal provides information on how to lodge an appeal.
- This can also be found in the academy complaints policy under Stage 2 formal complaint. [Link above.](#)

Complaints form

FOR CENTRE USE ONLY	
Date received	
Reference No.	

Please tick box to indicate the nature of your complaint

- Complaint against the centre's delivery of a qualification
 Complaint against the centre's administration of a qualification

Name of complainant	
Candidate name if different to complainant	

Please state the grounds for your complaint below

If your complaint is lengthy please write as bullet points; please keep to the point and include relevant detail such as dates, names etc. and provide any evidence you may have to support what you say

If necessary, continue on an additional page if this form is being completed electronically or overleaf if hard copy being completed

Detail any steps you have already taken to resolve the issue(s) and what you would consider to be a good resolution to the issue(s)

Complainant signature:

Date of signature:

This form must be completed in full - an incomplete form will be returned to the complainant

